SILVER-ION ASSISTED REACTIONS OF 1-ADAMANTYL HALIDES IN ETHANOL1

Dennis N. Kevill and Sister Virginia M. Horvath²
Department of Chemistry, Northern Illinois University
DeKalb, Illinois 60115 U. S. A.

(Received in USA 19 January 1971; received in UK for publication 19 January 1971)

For several years, the relative reactivities of bridgehead halides have been correlated by a semi-quantitative evaluation of the rates of reaction with silver nitrate in ethanol or aqueous ethanol³. Prior to the present investigation of silver-ion assisted reactions of 1-adamantyl halides in ethanol, there does not appear to have been a detailed study of any one of these reactions.

1-Adamanty1 halides react with silver nitrate in ethanol to give a mixture of 1-ethoxyadamantane, corresponding to solvolysis, and 1-adamanty1 nitrate, corresponding to anion exchange. The overall reaction can be monitored by potentiometric titration of aliquots against potassium chloride and the extent of acid formation (corresponding to solvolysis) can be monitored by titration of aliquots against sodium methoxide. 1-Adamanty1 nitrate was synthesized by the heterogeneous reaction of 1-adamanty1 bromide with powdered silver nitrate in hexane [mp 103-103.5°; ir (KBr) includes 6.28, 7.89, 9.08, 9.63, 11.56 (broad), 14.26μ; pmr (CCl₄) τ 7.73 (s,3), 7.85 (s with fine structure, 6), 8.28 (s with fine structure, 6); satisfactory analyses for C, H, and N] and it was shown to be essentially unreactive to ethanol at 25.0; after two weeks a 0.04M solution had developed only 0.8% acid.

A study of the partitioning between the two products for the chloride, bromide, and iodide showed that, at 25.0° and over a wide range of silver nitrate concentration, the ratio of ether to nitrate ester does not vary by a large amount. Even for silver nitrate concentrations of below 0.01<u>M</u>, the nitrate ester was the dominant product (Table I). Addition of nitrate ions as tetraethylammonium nitrate also had only a minor influence on the product ratio.

The small variation in the product ratio with nitrate ion concentration suggests that only a minor contribution to the overall reaction scheme involves a competition for initially formed free l-adamantyl carbonium ions between solvent molecules and nitrate ions and most acts of substitution involve along the reaction path the elements of an ion-pair (perhaps associated also with other entities) containing a l-adamantyl carbonium and a nitrate ion⁴, 5. Within this ion-pair there is

either collapse to 1-adamantyl nitrate or separation, which is mainly followed by solvolysis.

TABLE I

Percentage of Solvolysis (Acid Development) after Completion of the Reaction of Silver Nitrate with Excess (0.144M) 1-Adamantyl Halides in Ethanol at 25.0°,

[AgNO ₃]		% Solvolysis				
	[NEt4NO3]	X =	C1	Br	I	
0.0050			20.7	25.7	43.1	
0.0100			19.8	21.4	39.5	
0.0200			20.3	20.6	36.0	
0.0200	0.0200		15.8	17.4	31.5	
0.0200	0.0400		14.0	16.0	30.4	
0.0200	0.0800		11.9	15.0	28.6	
0.0400			19.6	18.1	35.7	
0.0800			17.7ª	16.6ª	35.4ª	

a Confirmed in semi-quantitative manner by use of 0.072M 1-adamantyl halide and analysis of the pmr spectrum (CCl₄) of the product.

Kinetic studies on the system of 1-adamantyl chloride and ethanolic silver nitrate were carried out at 25.0° (Table II). Keeping the concentration of 1-adamantyl chloride constant (0.144<u>M</u>) and varying the initial silver nitrate concentration (0.0025-0.08<u>M</u>), an analysis of the variation in initial velocity with concentration indicated an order in silver nitrate of 1.57. A similar study (Table II) for silver perchlorate indicated an order of higher than unity and increasing with concentration. Within the concentration range studied, silver nitrate gave a faster reaction than silver perchlorate but indications were that at higher concentrations (not attainable due to limited solubility of silver nitrate) this situation would have been reversed. Addition of tetraethylammonium nitrate showed that the faster reaction of silver nitrate was unlikely to be due to nucleophilic assistance from the nitrate ion. The addition had little effect upon the rate and actually caused a slight retardation. During seven days, a solution 0.144<u>M</u> in 1-adamantyl chloride and 0.070<u>M</u> in tetraethylammonium nitrate did not develop any free chloride ion.

The next two series of runs involved a constant (0.02M) concentration of silver nitrate or silver perchlorate and varying initial concentrations of 1-adamantyl chloride (Table III). The kinetic order in 1-adamantyl chloride was not unity, as we had expected, but about 1.7 for reaction with silver nitrate and about 1.5 for reaction with silver perchlorate.

TABLE II

Initial First-order Rate Coefficients with Respect to 0.144M 1-Adamantyl Chloride for Reaction with Silver Nitrate or Silver Perchlorate in Ethanol at 25.0.

10 ² [AgN0 ₃]	:	0.250	0.500	1.00	2.00	4.00	8.00	
10 ⁷ k ₁ (sec ⁻¹)	:	0.52	1.6	5.6	14 ^a	53.	160.	
10 ² [AgC10 ₄]	:	0.508	1.01	2.03	4.18	7.97	12.0	15.0
$10^{7}k_{1}(sec^{-1})$:	0.17	0.76	3.2	13.4	79.	246.	515.

^a For additions of NEt₄NO₃ of 0.02, 0.04, and 0.08 \underline{M} , value is reduced to 12 x 10⁻⁷, 12 x 10⁻⁷, and 11 x 10⁻⁷ sec⁻¹ respectively.

TABLE III

Initial First-order Rate Coefficients with Respect to 0.0200 M Silver Nitrate or Silver Perchlorate for Reaction with 1-Adamantyl Chloride in Ethanol at 25.00.

[C ₁₀ H ₁₅ C1]	:	0.0359	0.072	0.144	0.288	0.576
$10^{5}k_{1}^{AgN03}(sec^{-1})$:	0.12	0.40	1.04	3.6	13.3
$10^{5}k_{1}^{AgC10_{4}}(sec^{-1})$:	0.028	0.066	0.227	0.66	1.82

Due to the complex kinetic patterns, valid determinations of the influence upon the reaction rate of varying the identity of the halide leaving group required comparisons under identical conditions and the large rate differences found to be involved made this difficult. Results for the compromise concentrations selected are shown in Table IV.

TABLE IV

Leaving Group Effects upon the Specific Rates of Reaction of Silver Nitrate or Silver Perchlorate with 0.0072M 1-Adamantyl Bromide or 1-Adamantyl Chloride in Ethanol at 25.0°.

10 ⁸ k ₁ (sec ⁻¹)					
[AgNO ₃]	$\underline{x} = c1$	$\underline{X} = Br$	Ratio		
0.0020	5.2	90,000	1.7 X 10 ⁴		
0.0040	6.6	129,000	2.0 X 10 ⁴		
[AgC10 ₄]					
0.0020	2.9	34,000	1.2 X 10 ⁴		
0.0040	3.7	43,000	1.2 X 10 ⁴		
0.0160	6.7	101,000	1.5 X 10 ⁴		

The 1-adamantyl chloride was reacting slower and the 1-adamantyl bromide was reacting faster than for an ideal investigation. Also, autocatalysis, which was not very marked at the higher concentrations, became appreciable at these lower concentrations and accurate assessment of initial rates was more difficult. The data do not have the accuracy of those obtained at higher concentrations and the ratios may be in error as much as 25%. There can be no doubt, however, but that the rate ratios are fantastically higher than the ratios of 30-100 usually obtained for alkyl bromide/alkyl chloride comparisons in hydroxylic solvents⁶ and, also, much higher than the value of 450, at 45°, for the reactions of 2-octyl halides with silver nitrate in acetonitrile⁵, an aprotic solvent in which leaving-group effects are magnified over those in hydroxylic solvents⁷.

The mechanisms for these silver-ion assisted reactions are clearly complex and they possibly take place largely through clusters containing silver ions, counterions, 1-adamantyl carbonium ions, and halide ions. Although a detailed mechanism cannot be presented at the present time, it is clear that these reactions, commonly used to establish relative reactivities of bridgehead halides, cannot be considered as classical $S_N 1 A g^{\dagger}$ solvolyses. In particular, any reasonable mechanism would have to account for the extremely large rate differences between reaction of the bromide and the chloride and for the formation of 1-adamantyl nitrate as the dominant product. In contrast, 1-adamantyl p-toluenesulfonate solvolyzes in 0.15 \underline{M} ethanolic tetraethylammonium chloride without concurrent formation of 1-adamantyl chloride⁸.

REFERENCES

- (1) Presented as part of the symposium "Formation and Chemistry of Adamantane and Cage-like Hydro-carbons," 159th ACS National Meeting, Houston, Texas, February 23-27, 1970.
- (2) National Science Foundation High School Teacher Research Participant.
- (3) See, for example, J. Hine, Physical Organic Chemistry, 2nd Ed., pp 166-167, McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y. (1962).
- (4) G. S. Hammond, M. F. Hawthorne, J. H. Waters, and B. M. Graybill, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 82, 704 (1960).
- (5) Y. Pocker and D. N. Kevill, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 87, 4760(1965).
- (6) A. Streitwieser, Chem. Rev., <u>56</u>, 571(1956).
- (7) H. M. R. Hoffmann, J. Chem. Soc., 6753(1965).
- (8) D. N. Kevill, K. C. Kolwyck, and F. L. Weitl, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 7300(1970).